

[Telephonic Reaffirmation Agreement Hearings](#)
[Order of Public Reprimand](#)

 **Telephonic Reaffirmation Agreement Hearings**

Judge Hall and Judge Jackson provide debtors and debtors' counsel with an opportunity to participate telephonically in hearings to consider whether Reaffirmation Agreements should be approved.

When a Reaffirmation Agreement is set for hearing, a Notice of Hearing is mailed to the debtor. The Notice includes the date, time, and location of the hearing, and the following language:

“If you desire to participate by telephone, please call Chambers to provide a telephone number where you can be reached.”

To arrange a telephonic hearing on a Reaffirmation Agreement, you may call the assigned judge at the numbers below:

Judge Hall 405-609-5662
Judge Jackson 405 609-5678

Please call by the deadline set in the Notice of Hearing to request a telephonic hearing. If a deadline is not set, please call at least two (2) business days before the hearing to participate by phone. Bankruptcy Judges for the Western District strongly encourage telephonic participation in reaffirmation hearings.

 **Order of Public Reprimand**

An order of public reprimand is attached as required by the Court.



Dated: September 10, 2013, 11:59 AM

The following is ORDERED:

Niles Jackson
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

In re:)	
)	
ALLEN A. WYMER and)	Case No. 12-12768-NLJ
LORI M. WYMER,)	Chapter 7
)	
Debtors.)	
)	
PANEXCO OPERATING LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Adversary No. 12-1098
)	
ALLEN A. WYMER,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER OF PUBLIC REPRIMAND OF DANIEL STONER

After the deadline for filing the Final Witness and Exhibit list had passed, Defendant moved for a ten-day extension of that deadline. Finding that Defendant failed to establish the requisite excusable neglect, the Court denied the motion. Nonetheless, two days later Defendant filed the Final Witness and Exhibit List. The

Court entered an order striking the improperly filed pleading and directed Defendant to appear and show cause why he should not be sanctioned for the unauthorized filing of the Witness and Exhibit List.

Counsel for Defendant appeared at the show cause hearing. He represented that the actions at issue were his actions and were not attributable to Defendant. Distilled, his cavalier explanation for his unauthorized filing of the Final Witness and Exhibit List was that he did not read the Court's order closely enough (he skimmed and/or sped-read it) and he thought the filing would be "better late than never."

The Court made the objective determination that counsel for Defendant willfully violated the Court's order warranting a sanction in the form of a public reprimand. *White v. General Motors Corp., Inc.*, 908 F.2d 675, 684-85 (10th Cir. 1990)(citations omitted)("the limit of any sanction award should be that amount [or method] reasonably necessary to deter the wrongdoer").

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that:

1. Daniel Stoner is hereby publicly reprimanded for willfully violating an order of this Court.
2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to include this Order in the ECFiler distributed on September 10, 2013.

#